

Subject: RE: Loitering, Vagrancy, etc.  
From: "Frederick C. Raffetto" <fcr@ansellzaro.com>  
To: "John Paff" <paff@pobox.com>  
Cc: <Katcrippen@aol.com>, "fenright ...snip...  
<tcasagrande@springlakehts.com>

Mr. Paff,

I serve as the Borough Attorney for Spring Lake Heights Borough. In that capacity, I have been copied on various e-mail communications of earlier today, regarding the Borough's enforcement of certain loitering and vagrancy ordinances which remain in the Borough's Code Book.

Please note that following receipt of your initial communications back in 2007, I had the opportunity to speak to the Borough's Police Chief concerning this issue, and he confirmed that the Borough was not enforcing these provisions. Since then, the Borough has begun the process of a full recodification project in order to update and recodify all of the Borough's ordinances, and these ordinances will definitely be addressed as part of that project.

Moreover, upon receipt of your e-mails of this morning, our Mayor has spoken with the Borough's current Acting Police Chief, as well as with the Borough's Court Administrators and Municipal Court Judge, and none of them has any recollection of any tickets being written for a violation of municipal ordinances for loitering, vagrancy, etc., nor of any impermissible downgrades of such offenses. They all reiterated that our Code is being rewritten as we speak and those concerns are being taken care of. FYI.

I trust this information should address your concerns. If we can provide any further information, please do not hesitate to contact me. Thank you,

Frederick C. Raffetto, Esq.  
Ansell Zaro Grimm & Aaron, PC  
1500 Lawrence Avenue  
Ocean, New Jersey 07712  
(732) 922-1000 x218 Tel  
(732) 922-6161 Fax  
fcr@ansellzaro.com

Ansell Zaro Grimm & Aaron, P.C.

Celebrating Our 80th Anniversary

>From: John Paff [<mailto:paff@pobox.com>]

>Sent: Monday, November 30, 2009 12:53 PM

>To: tcasagrande@springlakehts.com

...snip...

>>its attorney has taken any action or made any decisions regarding these

>

>>ordinances?

>>

>>John