



The Law Offices of  
**Joel Silberman, LLC**

549 Summit Avenue  
Jersey City, NJ 07306  
T 201-420-1913 F 201-420-1914  
www.joelsilbermanlaw.com

---

Hon. Esther Salas, U.S.D.J.  
United States District Court  
District of New Jersey  
50 Walnut Street  
Newark, New Jersey 07101

November 17, 2015

Re: Glunk v. City of Bayonne, et. al.  
Docket No. 2:15-cv-1908 (ES)-(MAH)

Dear Judge Salas,

I represent the Plaintiff in the above captioned matter. By way of background, on August 12, 2015 the parties asked the Court adjourn the initial conference in this matter as the parties were working towards a resolution. (see, Docket No. 11). At some point in August the parties reached a tentative settlement which was subject to Defendants' final approval.

On September 24, 2015, Defendants informed Plaintiff that the proposed settlement agreement was approved by the powers that be and that Plaintiff could inform the Court that the matter was settled. On the same day, Plaintiff informed the Court of such (see, Docket No. 12) and asked that a "60 Day" Order be entered. On September 25, 2015, the Court entered an Order marking the matter as settled and dismissed the case without prejudice for a period of 60 days. (See, Docket No. 14)

Despite Plaintiff's repeated efforts to consummate settlement over the past 7 weeks Defendants have yet to even produce an executable settlement agreement. In light of this, Plaintiff respectfully asks that the Court reopen this matter so that Plaintiff may exercise her rights to enforce the settlement or in the alternative continue litigating this matter.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Respectfully yours,

s/Joel Silberman  
Joel Silberman, Esq.

Cc: All Counsel (Via ECF Only)



The Law Offices of  
**Joel Silberman, LLC**

549 Summit Avenue  
Jersey City, NJ 07306  
T 201-420-1913 F 201-420-1914  
www.joelsilbermanlaw.com

---

Hon. Kevin McNulty, U.S.D.J.  
United States District Court  
District of New Jersey  
50 Walnut Street  
Newark, New Jersey 07101

November 17, 2015

Re: Rios v. City of Bayonne, et. al.  
Docket No. 2:12-cv-04716 (KM)-(MAH)

Dear Judge McNulty,

I represent the Plaintiff in the above captioned matter. By way of background, on September 9, 2015, the parties asked the Court (see, Docket No. 189) to adjourn all proceedings in this matter until September 29, 2015 so that the parties could work towards consummating a settlement. More specifically, Defendants wanted to seek final approval for the settlement before binding their clients.

On September 24, 2015, Defendants informed Plaintiff that the proposed settlement agreement was approved by the powers that be and that Plaintiff could inform the Court that the matter was settled. On the same day, Plaintiff informed the Court of such (see, Docket No. 191) and asked that a "60 Day" Order be entered. On September 25, 2015, the Court entered an Order marking the matter as settled and dismissed the case without prejudice for a period of 60 days.

Despite Plaintiff's repeated efforts to consummate settlement over the past 7 weeks Defendants have yet to even produce an executable settlement agreement. In light of this, Plaintiff respectfully asks that the Court reopen this matter so that Plaintiff may exercise his rights to enforce the settlement or in the alternative continue litigating this matter.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Respectfully yours,

s/ Joel Silberman  
Joel Silberman, Esq.

Cc: All Counsel (Via ECF Only)