

**HUNTERDON COUNTY PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE**

---

---

**NAME:** Judge Joseph Novak

---

---

**ADDRESS:** The Joint Court of the Delaware Valley  
Second Street  
Frenchtown, NJ 08825

**TAKEN AT:** The Joint Court of the Delaware Valley  
Second Street  
Frenchtown, NJ 08825

**DATE:** Thursday, March 8, 2012

**QUESTIONS ASKED BY:** Recording of Afternoon Session

**WITNESSES PRESENT:** N/A

**TRANSCRIBED BY:** Linda Desposito  
Secretary to the Prosecutor

**INVESTIGATION NUMBER:** P12030258

**TIME STARTED:** 3:16 pm (approximately)

**TIME FINISHED:** 3:18 pm (approximately)

**A12**

1 Judge Novak:  
2 The next is Thomas Spork. This is 23961. Mr. Spork, you're charged with  
3 violation 39:4-98.

4  
5 UNK:  
6 (inaudible-- attorney not involved with this case)

7  
8 Judge Novak:  
9 Yes?

10  
11 UNK:  
12 (inaudible -- attorney not involved with this case)

13  
14 Judge Novak:  
15 Okay. Good. Let's me just take Mr. Spork, it's going to take me two seconds. Mr.  
16 Spork, the Prosecutor entered in this matter has indicated there's a discovery issue  
17 in an inability to prove the case beyond a reasonable doubt. Accordingly, I'm  
18 going to find you Not Guilty of this charge and that should take care of that.

19  
20 Spork:  
21 Thank you, sir.

22  
23 Judge Novak:  
24 Thank you.

A13

MEMORANDUM

TO: LT. B. Winfield

---

FROM: PTL. H. Johnson

DATE: March 14, 2012


Re: Traffic Ticket # B023961

---

On 01/20/2012 a summons was issued to one Thomas Spork for a moving violation. Upon giving Mr. Spork his Summons he advised me that his employer was Prosecutor Mary Mott. I advised Mr. Spork that the Summons has been issued and that there was not much that I could do for him being that the ticket has been issued. Approximately three to four days later I received a call from Mary Mott regarding the above listed Summons, Asking if something could be worked out with the Summons issued to Spork. I asked Mary Mott what she had in mind. Mary responded "I don't how I want to handle it. I'll figure something out and get back to you". That was the last and only time I spoke with Mary regarding this Summons. On March 08, 2012 while in court I observed Mr. Spork seated in the courtroom. At which time I asked Prosecutor Mott what do you have in mind for the Summons issued to Mr. Spork. Mott responded don't worry about it, it's already been taken care of, I'll tell the judge that there was a problem with getting discovery in this case".

A14

SIGNATURE

  
JOHNSON



R-2

MEMORANDUM

TO: LT. B. Winfield  
FROM: PTL. H. Johnson  
DATE: March 30, 2012  
Re: Call from Mary Mott

As per Chief Kurylka, I am writing to inform you that on 03/30/2012 at 1440 Hours. I was contacted via cell phone by Prosecutor Mary Mott. At which time she related the following. Mary stated "that she had received a call from Prosecutor Kearns requesting that she meet with him on Monday 04/02/2012" Regarding a court matter that occurred in the borough of frenchtown. Ms. Mott asked "if I had made a compliant to the Prosecutors Office regarding the ticket she dismissed". I told her that I made no such Complaint. She then asked "if Al (Chief Kurylka) was pissed that she dismissed that ticket and did he make the complaint to the Prosecutors Office". I told Mary that I didn't know, to answer her questions. Mary then stated "that every since she transferred that Christine Lilly case out of Frenchtown, Al has had a problem with her," she then stated "that she needs to call Prosecutor Kearns Back tell him that they did need to meet, because she fucking quitting". Mary then said bye and ended the call.

SIGNATURE

*PTL. H. Johnson*  
JOHNSON

A15

**HUNTERDON COUNTY PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE**

---

**NAME:** Patrolman Harold Johnson

**ADDRESS:** Frenchtown Police Department  
27 Second Street  
Frenchtown, NJ 08825

**TAKEN AT:** HCPO

**DATE:** Wednesday, April 4, 2012

**QUESTIONS ASKED BY:** DSG Ken Rowe  
Det. Ed DeFilippis

**WITNESSES PRESENT:** N/A

**TRANSCRIBED BY:** Linda Desposito  
Secretary to the Prosecutor

**INVESTIGATION NUMBER:** P12030258

**TIME STARTED:** 7:37 pm

**TIME FINISHED:** 8:11 pm

**A16**

E-1

1 KR: Today is Wednesday, April 4, 2012. The time is approximately 7:37 pm. I am  
2 Detective Sergeant Kenneth Rowe of the Hunterdon County Prosecutor's Office,  
3 Bureau of Professional Standards. I'm currently located in interview room #1 of  
4 ~~the Justice Complex and present with me is Detective Ed DeFilippis, and Detective~~  
5 for the record and for voice identification, can you please state your full name and  
6 spell your last name?  
7

8 ED: Detective Ed DeFilippis. D-E-F-I-L-I-P-P-I-S  
9

10 KR: Thank you. Also present with us is Patrolman Harold Johnson of the Frenchtown  
11 Borough Police Department. And Ptl. Johnson, for the record, can you please  
12 state your full name and spell your last name?  
13

14 HJ: Harold T. Johnson. J-O-H-N-S-O-N  
15

16 KR: Okay. And your current position?  
17

18 HJ: Patrolman  
19

20 KR: Okay, and that's with Frenchtown?  
21

22 HJ: That is correct.  
23

24 KR: Okay. How long have you been employed there?  
25

26 HJ: I've been employed with Frenchtown for over five-and-a-half years.  
27

28 KR: All right, thank you. And do you have prior law enforcement experience?  
29

30 HJ: Ah, yes, I do. Seven years with the Lawrence Township Police Department,  
31 Mercer County.  
32

33 KR: Okay. And prior to going on record, I advised you of your status in this  
34 investigation, that being a witness?  
35

36 HJ: That is correct.  
37

38 KR: All right. And it's in regard to a criminal investigation involving Municipal Court  
39 Prosecutor of the Joint Court of Del...of Delaware Valley?  
40

1 HJ: That is correct.

2

3 KR: Okay, and that would be Mary Mott?

4

5 HJ: That is Mary Mott, yes.

6

7 KR: Where is that court actually located?

8

9 HJ: Located at 29 Second Street.

10

11 KR: In Frenchtown?

12

13 HJ: In Frenchtown.

14

15 KR: Okay, that would be adjacent to the police department?

16

17 HJ: That is correct. Right next door.

18

19 KR: Okay, thank you. And, you understand that as a law enforcement officer, you're  
20 obligated to be here and to answer questions completely and truthfully?

21

22 HJ: Yes, I do understand.

23

24 KR: Okay, thank you. Are you currently under the influence of alcohol or taking any  
25 medication that would preclude you from speaking with us today?

26

27 HJ: No, not at all.

28

29 KR: Okay, and do you swear or affirm that the statement you are about to give is the  
30 truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

31

32 HJ: I do.

33

34 KR: Okay. Other than our recording device here, are there any other recording devices  
35 being utilized?

36

37 HJ: No, I do not have one on me.

38

39 KR: Okay, and the reason we're here today is to take a formal statement from Ptl.  
40 Harold Johnson in regard to motor vehicle summons, issued to a Mr. Thomas

1 SpoKR on January 20, 2012, and the final disposition, which was on March 8,  
2 2012, in the Joint Court of Delaware Valley. Is that correct?

3

4 HJ: That is correct.

5

6 KR: And in your own words, can...let's start with the motor vehicle stop that was  
7 January 20<sup>th</sup> ?

8

9 HJ: At approximately 9:30...9:33 am

10

11 KR: Okay, and did you happened to have the occasion to stop a vehicle?

12

13 HJ: Ah, yes I did. While running a fixed radar on Trenton Avenue, going southbound,  
14 on to 29 southbound, I observed a pickup truck traveling at a rate of 45 miles an  
15 hour, at which time, a motor vehicle stop was conducted with that vehicle.

16

17 KR: Okay

18

19 HJ: It's that driver, Mr. SpoKR.

20

21 KR: Okay. Was there anybody else in the car? Or in the truck?

22

23 HJ: Yes, there was a second passenger in that vehicle.

24

25 KR: Okay. And that motor vehicle stop, that was recorded on the MVR?

26

27 HJ: That is correct.

28

29 KR: Okay. And that was from the initial stop until completion?

30

31 HJ: From start to finish.

32

33 KR: Okay, very good. And if I could just ask you to take a look at this summons,  
34 B023961, if you're familiar with that?

35

36 HJ: Oh yes I am.

37

38 KR: Okay, and is that, in fact, the summons that you had issued that day to Mr.  
39 SpoKR?

40

**A19**



1 HJ: Yes it is.

2

3 KR: Okay, and what type of dialogue did you have with Mr. SpoKR?

4

5 HJ: On approaching the vehicle I advised them as to why they were being stopped.  
6 Asked him for his license, registration, insurance, which he did produce. On  
7 getting that information, I went back to my patrol vehicle and began writing this  
8 particular summons.

9

10 KR: Okay. Did you...did you check his history in the computer at all? Do you have  
11 MDT's in your car?

12

13 HJ: Yes we do, we do.

14

15 KR: Okay. Do you recall if you ran him or not?

16

17 HJ: I do not recall.

18

19 KR: All right. And upon going back to your car, you issued a summons...?

20

21 HJ: I did issue a summons. I cut the individual a break. He was clocked at 45 miles  
22 an hour in a 25 miles an hour speed zone and I told him I would write him for 5  
23 over, to not make it so severe point-wise for him. And this is just something I was  
24 doing, at my discretion.

25

26 KR: All right, and using your discretion. And have you done that in the past to other  
27 motorists?

28

29 HJ: Absolutely, yes.

30

31 KR: All right, so what...did you know Mr. SpoKR prior to this?

32

33 HJ: Never met him before.

34

35 KR: Okay. And you...like you've said, you've done that to other drivers, where you used  
36 your discretion, maybe you check their driver history...you start off...

37

38 HJ: Right

39

40 KR: ...you saw they had a clean license...

**A20**

1

2 HJ: Correct

3

4 ~~KR: ...and more or less, you cut him a break?~~

5

6 HJ: Correct

7

8 KR: All right. And what other dialogue, if any, that you had with the driver?

9

10 HJ: Um, upon giving him back his credentials, along with the summons, he said  
11 something to the effect that...I might be a little off ... my boss is going to be a little  
12 upset or pissed at me. And with that I said, who's your boss? And he said, "Mary  
13 Mott." And as far as, you would, we talked about it...if you would have said  
14 something prior to that, I would have probably checked it to make sure he was  
15 okay and not issued you a summons. I said, but talk to Mary, she knows how to  
16 go about handling these matters. That was pretty much my contact with him.

17

18 KR: All right. And what was your intention for that? How he can dispose of it? Pay it,  
19 or...?

20

21 HJ: Nah, how he could actually, we would actually take it back up...it...when I do these  
22 tickets, I'll actually write the actual speed. So, if there's a situation where we're  
23 going to work something out, it's going to go back to that 45 miles an hour, and  
24 then we'll downgrade it. So, if it's a three or four point ticket, and now goes down  
25 to a two point ticket.

26

27 KR: Okay. I see you have 45 circled here?

28

29 HJ: Correct

30

31 KR: So, the Prosecutor would know that the initial speed...

32

33 HJ: Speed

34

35 KR: ...was 45 and that you had already cut a break?

36

37 HJ: Right...Correct

38

39 KR: ...when they see 30?

40

**A21**

1 HJ: Right

2

3

4 ~~KR: All right. When you told him to speak with Mary Mott, what else could be done in~~  
5 regard to this summons?

6

7 HJ: Well, it would actually, if it's my understanding, it would go back to the 45 and  
8 then...he would still...he would honestly still be getting a break either way. If you  
9 talk to Mary, she downgraded it down to like one to five points, where you've got  
10 like a two point ticket. At least there he'd be able to get a break.

11

12 KR: All right. But, five miles over in a twenty-five, that would probably be a two point?

13

14 HJ: It is a two point ticket.

15

16 KR: Two point summons? So, that's probably as low as you could go anyway?

17

18 HJ: Probably.

19

20 KR: All right, unless you...you gave him some sort of other moving violation that didn't  
21 have amended to...

22

23 HJ: Like the...the other option is, I guess it's the unsafe operation, where you pay the  
24 full and (inaudible)?

25

26 KR: Correct

27

28 HJ: So, that would be an option that she would work out with him.

29

30 KR: All right

31

32 HJ: Whatever it was. But it...it wouldn't go from a two point ticket to zero.

33

34 KR: All right. There still had to be some sort of consequence?

35

36 HJ: Absolutely.

37

38 KR: All right. Okay, was there any dialogue with the passenger?

39

40 HJ: Not that I could recall, no.

**A22**

1

2 KR: All right. And did you ever identify the passenger or no?

3

4 ~~HJ: No, I didn't.~~

5

6 KR: All right. Any other dialogue with Mr. SpoKR that day?

7

8 HJ: After issuing the summons, that was pretty much it.

9

10 KR: All right. And then I have a memo, I guess it was prepared...purportedly prepared  
11 by you to Lt. B. Winfield. I guess that would be Robert Winfield?

12

13 HJ: Yes

14

15 KR: Dated March 14<sup>th</sup>

16

17 HJ: Yes

18

19 KR: 2012? And if you could look at that and if you want to take your time and read it.

20

21 HJ: Um

22

23 KR: That's your memo?

24

25 HJ: Yeah

26

27 KR: All right. If you could just initial it for me, and you can put the date. The date's  
28 the 4<sup>th</sup>. All right. Just to backtrack...a few days after you had issued Mr. SpoKR  
29 the motor vehicle summons, had you received a phone call from Prosecutor Mary  
30 Mott?

31

32 HJ: You know, 3 or 4 days...and I...I said that...cause every time Mary would call me  
33 about a court matter, it would be over the phone. And I also know that I had a  
34 discussion with her, and it was only one time, I don't know...I want to say it was  
35 on the phone, because that's how she did everything in the past...for any court  
36 matter that I had, she would always call me on the phone. And that's why I feel  
37 strongly about it was a phone call, but then, I also had court later that month, or  
38 earlier that month, in February, where it could have been there.

39

**A23**

1 KR: Okay. When...when you say she calls you on the phone, is that your cell phone?  
2 Or...?

3

~~4 HJ: Yeah, personal cell phone.~~

5

6 KR: She calls you on your personal cell phone as opposed to the woKR line, at least  
7 she knows she'll get you.

8

9 HJ: Right and if I'm off and, the majority of the calls that I receive from her related to  
10 any type of court matters, have always been while I was off duty and on the  
11 personal phone.

12

13 KR: All right, because you guys woKR the shift woKR there.

14

15 HJ: Right. But with that said, like if I'm woKRing, she knows I'm woKRing, you know.  
16 If she's there for court, she could see that my vehicle was there.

17

18 KR: All right, okay, so you prepared this memo?

19

20 HJ: Yes

21

22 KR: On March 14<sup>th</sup> of 2012?

23

24 HJ: That's correct.

25

26 KR: And, what was your reason for preparing this memo? Did anybody ask you to  
27 prepare it?

28

29 HJ: Yes, Lt. Winfield asked me to prepare a memo related to this ticket and the  
30 process in which, ah, I guess he was alerted by Chief Kurylka about this ticket  
31 being dismissed and he wanted it to...he wanted me to explain why it was and, I  
32 told Bob, I didn't discuss anything. It was done before I got there.

33

34 KR: All right. So, and we'll...we'll get there...so...so...

35

36 HJ: Okay

37

38 KR: That's all right. This...so this was at the direction of...?

39

40 HJ: Of Lieutenant Winfield.

**A24**

1  
2 KR: Lieutenant...Lt. Winfield, and it's all about the dismissal of the motor vehicle  
3 summons to SpoKR?  
4

5 HJ: That's correct.  
6

7 KR: And you prepared it. You initialed it here. And that's an accurate representation  
8 of what transpired?  
9

10 HJ: To my best recollection, yes.  
11

12 KR: All right. Okay...you indicated that you may have had another conversation with  
13 Prosecutor Mott?  
14

15 HJ: It...it's going...sitting here now, not thinking about this going anywhere...  
16

17 KR: Right  
18

19 HJ: ...it was either a telephone call or an in-person meeting at court.  
20

21  
22 KR: All right.  
23

24 HJ: But it was days later.  
25

26 KR: And what was the dialogue there?  
27

28 HJ: Just that, more or less, hey, you gave my guy a ticket...can we wokR something  
29 out? Yeah, when court comes up we'll...we'll take care of it...we'll wokR something  
30 out.  
31

32 KR: All right.  
33

34 ED: Was that the only memo that you prepared?  
35

36 KR: No, there's another one on the 30<sup>th</sup>. All right, so now we're...it's the court date,  
37 which would be March...Thursday, March 8<sup>th</sup>?  
38

39 HJ: That is correct,  
40

**A25**

1 KR: Okay, and that's an afternoon session?

2

3 HJ: I believe a morning session if I'm...no, it was a special session. I think it was an  
4 ~~afternoon session, yeah.~~

5

6 KR: All right. And that would probably...let me see. I think I have a copy of the  
7 subpoena here. Yeah, scheduled for 2:00 pm...Thomas SpokR. All right. Do you  
8 know if that was his first appearance, or was that scheduled for trial?

9

10 HJ: That I do not know. I'm...I'm more inclined to believe it may have been his first  
11 appearance.

12

13 KR: All right.

14

15 HJ: ~~Because normally, if it was something that was scheduled for trial, the Court~~  
16 Administrator would put some type of, set for trial, where the officer needs to be  
17 there for trial, something to that effect.

18

19 KR: All right. And I see the cc: To Chief Kurylka. Do you know who wrote that?

20

21 HJ: I believe that would be Barbara, our Court Administrator.

22

23 KR: Okay, and does she do that on all the...?

24

25 HJ: Yes she does.

26

27 KR: Okay. Okay, so now you go...you attend the, the session at the Joint Court of  
28 Delaware Valley, and what did you observe when you were out there?

29

30 HJ: On my arrival there for this court session, when I walked into the building, I  
31 observed Mr. SpokR seated in the back row, at which time I proceeded upstairs to  
32 where the Prosecutor, the...I guess you would her, the Court CleKR, that handles  
33 all the finances, and Chief Kurylka were all sitting there. So then as I walked up, I  
34 observed Mary Mott and said, I see your guy's there. What do you want to do  
35 about the ticket. At which time she said, "Don't worry about it, it's already been  
36 taken care of." And with that said, you could see the Chief look up like...well, the  
37 officer just got here...how could it be taken care of? There's been no dialogue.  
38 Look, and I don't remember, again, sitting here, I don't remember what business  
39 they were saying to each other, but I know that...I knew that the Chief was not  
40 happy with what he had heard.

1  
2 KR: Okay, so the ticket was already taken care of? What time did you get to court at?  
3 Do you remember?

4  
5 HJ: Um, probably about maybe 2:15. I don't know. I should...it should be on...I  
6 haven't...the patrol log? But I didn't...

7  
8 KR: All right, if we could get a copy of just the log... for...

9  
10 HJ: Yeah...for that day...

11  
12 KR: ...for March 8<sup>th</sup>? All right. Very good. Um, all right, do you CAD off with  
13 communications when you go to court?

14  
15 HJ: Yes

16  
17 KR: All right. So we would have the CAD record too of what time you got there at.  
18 Okay, so when you got there, you saw Mr. SpokR...

19  
20 HJ: He was seated down in the court room, as I go upstairs, there's Mary Mott, there's  
21 the Chief...

22  
23 KR: But if it was already disposed of, why would he still be sitting there? If it was  
24 already heard?

25  
26 HJ: It's my understanding, with court practices, that anything that's going to be  
27 dismissed, for trial, are heard at the end of court.

28  
29 KR: Trials are heard at the end?

30  
31 HJ: Right. So, anything that they can dispose of quickly, they'll handle that first...

32  
33 KR: Ah-huh

34  
35 HJ: And then if there's trials, or if there's something where there's going to be a  
36 dismissal, or they have to put something on the record, it's always held at the end.

37  
38 KR: All right. So at this point, she's telling you it's already been taken care of?

39

A27



1 HJ: Yeah, as I walk up, I was like, yeah, what do you want to woKR out? What do  
2 you got? Don't worry about it, it's already been taken care of. So with that, the  
3 Chief looks over and he's like, what do you mean it's already been taken care of?  
4 He just walked in the door. You guy's haven't discussed anything. And with that,  
5 she...then they started to talk to each other...

6  
7 KR: This is the Chief and...

8  
9 HJ: The Chief and Mary Mott.

10  
11 KR: All right

12  
13 HJ: And the Chief says, you know what my policy is. Nothing, you know...nothing  
14 goes to zero. If it's a four point ticket, we go to two. We're not negotiating to  
15 zero. Again, sitting here, it wasn't like I wasn't going to remember everything, but  
16 I know they did have a discussion and at which time Mary said, well now, I'm just  
17 going to say that there was a problem with discovery. Again, that didn't sit well  
18 with the Chief, so...once they were done, the Chief and I left together, and he  
19 says, why didn't you say something? Why did you, you know, allow her to dismiss  
20 the ticket? I'm like, I didn't allow her to dismiss anything. You heard what she  
21 said, she said it was taken care of before I even got there.

22  
23 KR: Yeah

24  
25 HJ: So, I...I know the Chief wasn't happy with me because I know he...he wanted me  
26 to speak up and...

27  
28 KR: Why was the Chief there? Do you know?

29  
30 HJ: That I do not know.

31  
32 KR: Does he...does he usually sit in on court sessions?

33  
34 HJ: I...he does. On occasion he does...he does.

35  
36 KR: Okay, and prior to this, was he aware of the relationship between...?

37  
38 HJ: No...Between SpoKR's and Mary Mott? No.

39  
40 KR: Yeah

A28

1

2 HJ: No, not that I'm aware of.

3

4 KR: Okay, but on March 14<sup>th</sup> you prepared a memo...?

5

6 HJ: Yes

7

8 KR: Now, does this go to the Chief?

9

10 HJ: This...this goes to the Lieutenant, but this memo was prepared at the request of  
11 the Lieutenant who's...at the request of the Chief. The Chief instructed the  
12 Lieutenant to contact me and explain the circumstances behind this ticket. So it  
13 was at the direction of the Chief that the Lieutenant then requested that I...

14

15 KR: So when it was dismissed on the 8<sup>th</sup>, or taken care of, or disposed on the  
16 8<sup>th</sup>...Chief Kurylka didn't know the relationship between SpoKR...

17

18 HJ: I...I don't believe so.

19

20 KR: All right

21

22 HJ: I honestly don't...

23

24 KR: That was no conversation prior to this, like...when you made the stop in January,  
25 did you mention anything about that stop to anybody in the department?

26

27 HJ: Honestly? Not that I can recall. It...it wasn't...it was just another normal stop.  
28 Like it wasn't like, a stop with any injuries, it wasn't like, yeah, a celebrity, where A  
29 has stopped, you know...

30

31 KR: Right

32

33 HJ: ...such-and-such...It was just another stop to me.

34

35 KR: An insignificant stop.

36

37 HJ: That is correct.

38

39 KR: All right. All right, so when you got there, you were under the impression that it  
40 was already disposed of?

**A29**

1.

2 HJ: No. When I got there, I was under the impression that we were going to...

3

~~4 KR: Oh, that you were going to discuss it.~~

5

6 HJ: Discuss it...

7

8 KR: But she told you it's already taken care of?

9

10 HJ: Yeah...Don't worry about it...don't worry about, it's already been taken care of.

11

12 KR: All right.

13

14 HJ: So, no, I was definitely there to...hey, what can we work out? And she was like,  
15 don't worry about it, it's already been taken care of.

16

17 KR: All right. And you've prepared a memo to that effect...

18

19 HJ: When she said this, the Chief was present so, he knew...

20

21 KR: Yup

22

23 HJ: That's what kind of got into the point of like, wait, what's going on?

24

25 KR: All right, so in fact, was there a problem with discovery?

26

27 HJ: No. It was never requested.

28

29 KR: Discovery was never requested on that, and what's the process for that if the  
30 prosecutor...if it's going to trial? Do they send you a notice?

31

32 HJ: Yes.

33

34 KR: ...that they need discovery?

35

36 HJ: And that's done through Lt. Winfield. He handles all the discovery.

37

38 KR: Okay. To the best of your knowledge, was that request ever made of Lt. Winfield?

39

**A30**

1 HJ: No, it was not made to the best of my knowledge and upon with speaking with Lt.  
2 Winfield, you know, so, address that he never received any type of request for  
3 discovery pertaining to this particular ticket.

4  
5 KR: Okay, and that request would come from Mary Mott?

6  
7 HJ: That would come from...

8  
9 KR: Or, the defense attorney?

10  
11 HJ: The defense attorney or the prosecutor...

12  
13 KR: Okay

14  
15 HJ: ...at which time it would be put before Lt. Winfield and he would do all the proper  
16 documentation for that at their request.

17  
18 ED: Would the defense send a letter to the prosecutor requesting discovery and then  
19 the prosecutor request it from the Lieutenant and then it goes back to the same  
20 chain?

21  
22 HJ: That may woKR right...excuse me, that may woKR one of two ways; where the  
23 defense attorney might contact the police department directly, or they might go to  
24 the prosecutor and follow that chain.

25  
26 ED: And in this case, you're not sure...?

27  
28 HJ: I'm...I'm not sure about...you know...?

29  
30 ED: How come it didn't go through the Lieutenant?

31  
32 HJ: No, for this case, there was nothing that went anywhere, request-wise, that I'm  
33 aware of.

34  
35 ED: But you wouldn't know if it had gone to the prosecutor and then (inaudible).

36  
37 HJ: No, I would not know that. But speaking with the Lieutenant who handles this, he  
38 made a reference to me that at no time had he ever received any type of request.

39

**A31**

1 KR: Okay. Now, you received the subpoena for Mr. SpokR for that day, did you bring  
2 any documentation with you?

3

4 HJ: Yeah

5

6 KR: As if it was going to trial that day?

7

8 HJ: Yeah, the speeding ticket from that stop,...my copy.

9

10 KR: Okay. What else would you have brought with you?

11

12 HJ: That would be it, unless there was...if...if there was a dispute about the ticket,  
13 again, it would go back to discovery with the videotape, any documentation, patrol  
14 log, or the summons itself, and that would all be put together by the Lieutenant  
15 and then...

16

17 KR: All right...And the certification...the calibration of the (inaudible) and...

18

19 HJ: Yes.

20

21 KR: And your certification?

22

23 HJ: Whatever is required for that.

24

25 KR: All right. And that would be all done by Lt. Winfield?

26

27 HJ: That is correct.

28

29 KR: And, to the best of your knowledge, that request was never made?

30

31 HJ: Not to...to our department...or Lt. Winfield.

32

33 KR: All right. Okay, so you were not present when the Judge placed his ruling on the  
34 record?

35

36 HJ: No, I was not.

37

38 KR: All right. Do you know what the Judge ruled on that?

39

40 HJ: I do not.

**A32**

1  
2 KR: Okay. What time did you leave the...the court session? Do you recall? I know it's  
3 some ago.  
4  
5 HJ: Yeah...Just to be honest with you, a ballpaKR figure, maybe...I may have been  
6 there for about 20 minutes to a half-hour, tops.  
7  
8 KR: All right. 20 minutes to a half-hour.  
9  
10 HJ: From the time that I got there to the time that I left..  
11  
12 KR: All right  
13  
14 HJ: ...was probably about a good half hour.  
15  
16 KR: All right. And then you would have CADed back on?  
17  
18 HJ: That is correct.  
19  
20 KR: All right.  
21  
22 HJ: Now if...if for some reason I left the, the court and went back into headquarters  
23 and did paperwoKR, or whatever, then after clearing whatever paperwoKR I may  
24 have done, then I would have CADed back on after that:  
25  
26 KR: Right now I'm looking at a request to approve a plea agreement which would be  
27 filled out by Prosecutor Mofft.  
28  
29 HJ: Yes  
30  
31 KR: I don't know if you're familiar with these?  
32  
33 HJ: Yes, I am.  
34  
35 KR: Have you ever seen them before?  
36  
37 HJ: Yes I have.  
38  
39 KR: Okay, and in the area recommended sentence or comments, it says problem with  
40 discovery per officer. Would that be accurate?

1

2 HJ: No it's not.

3

4 KR: All right, so, that would be inaccurate?

5

6 HJ: That is inaccurate.

7

8 KR: I'm also looking at the date which would be 3/12/18...I don't even know...

9

10 HJ: Yeah, I don't know what that is. The court thing is for the 8<sup>th</sup>, so it would have  
11 been taken care of that day.

12

13 KR: Okay, and then I see some sort of signature for prosecutor. I don't know if you're  
14 familiar with...Prosecutor Mott.

15

16 HJ: I'm not sure what...no, I'm not familiar with her writing.

17

18 KR: All right. That's fine. Okay, and then, the next thing I'd like to talk about is the,  
19 the memorandum you prepared for Lt. Winfield on March 30, 2012, and it  
20 referenced a call from Mary Mott?

21

22 HJ: Yes

23

24 KR: All right. Could you look that over and just verify that you're the author? And if  
25 those facts in there are accurate?

26

27 HJ: Yes, they are...they are 100% accurate.

28

29 KR: All right. And what was this...this memo in regard to? Can you just give us a  
30 synopsis?

31

32 HJ: This is, again, like I said in the past, when I get calls on different cases, she'd call  
33 me on my personal call phone, regardless of these matters. On this particular day,  
34 while off duty, I received a call at home, from Mary, crying about, hey, I just got a  
35 call from Prosecutor Kearns requesting that I meet him on Monday in regards to  
36 something that occurred in Frenchtown court. And she said, is this about that  
37 ticket? At which time I said, it probably is, yeah. Well did you make the  
38 complaint? I'm like no, I didn't make the complaint. Well, did Al make the  
39 complaint? I said, well, he probably did, I don't know. And she goes to say, well,  
40 this is all about...I mean, he's had a problem with me ever since Christine Lilly. I

A34

1        said, Mary, I don't know anything, I'm sorry, you know, I don't know what to tell  
2        you.  
3

~~4        KR: All right. And you received that call on your cell phone?~~

5  
6        HJ: That is correct.

7  
8        KR: Okay, and that was on March 30<sup>th</sup>?

9  
10       HJ: That was on March 30<sup>th</sup>.

11  
12       KR: March 30<sup>th</sup>? All right.

13  
14       HJ: At about 2:40 that day. I just received a call back on Monday at 11:37.

15  
16       KR: This past Monday?

17  
18       HJ: This past Monday.

19  
20       KR: At 11:37 am?

21  
22       HJ: Yeah, from Mary, but I didn't answer it and she didn't leave a message.

23  
24       KR: Okay. And how do you know it was from Mary?

25  
26       HJ: Because it was a missed call on my cell phone.

27  
28       KR: And which number?

29  
30       HJ: Oh...mine...?

31  
32       KR: Yeah, it was missed on your cell phone?

33  
34       HJ: Yeah

35  
36       KR: But how do you know it was Mary?

37  
38       HJ: It was titled under her name, her telephone number. So, if like you call me, it  
39       would come up...

40

**A35**



1 KR: All right.

2

3 HJ: ...with your name and telephone number.

4

5 KR: All right. And would that be her personal cell?

6

7 HJ: That I don't know...if it's her woKR...it's her cell phone.

8

9 KR: Okay...some sort of issued cell phone. You don't know if it's woKR  
10 issued...correct? Or woKR issued or her personal cell?

11

12 HJ: That was one night. I was woKRing days, so...go Chief, I look at my phone and  
13 saw another call. There are no messages, but I just wanted to make you aware  
14 that I'm not going to call her.

15

16 KR: All right. Is there any animus between you and Mary Mott?

17

18 HJ: No...no...not at all.

19

20 KR: Okay. How about the Chief and Ms. Mott?

21

22 HJ: Not at all. Not that I'm aware of.

23

24 KR: All right. I see in the one thing here she eluded to the...the incident with Christine  
25 Lilly?

26

27 HJ: Yeah

28

29 KR: And you're familiar with what's going on there?

30

31 HJ: Yes, of course...absolutely...yup.

32

33 KR: All right. Did you notice a change in their relationship since that incident?

34

35 HJ: No, not at all...not at all.

36

37 KR: All right. Do you know of any animus existing between Chief Kurylka and  
38 Prosecutor Mott?

39

40 HJ: No, none at all.

**A36**

1

2 KR: All right. Detective, do you have anything?

3

4 ED: (inaudible)

5

6 HJ: Yes

7

8 ED: When you said to us, that um, when you were describing your conversation with  
9 the driver, Mr. SpokR?

10

11 HJ: Right

12

13 ED: You said that he wokRed for Mary Mott. Are those like the exact words or were  
14 you just like paraphrasing what the encounter was?

15

16 HJ: Again, sitting here, I don't know exactly how he said it, but he was an employee of  
17 Mary Mott.

18

19 ED: Before you wrote your memo and before you came in here did you review the  
20 MVR?

21

22 HJ: No, I did not. I don't have access to that.

23

24 ED: You don't. Did you ask to look at it?

25

26 HJ: Well, I didn't ask because I didn't know where this was going, so.

27

28 ED: Sometime when you go to court, if it's a run of the mill case, does she have like  
29 carte blanche, you know, wokR things out on a pre-agreement without, you know,  
30 talking to you about every single little case?

31

32 HJ: Well, to a certain extent, based on the Chief's rules with the four-point ticket, it  
33 goes to two. It never goes to zero. So when you say carte blanche, to do  
34 whatever...no, not really.

35

36 ED: Well, I didn't mean carte blanche, but like, when I was on the road, I went...and I  
37 had a case going to court, and a lot of times the prosecutor would, you know,  
38 had...always had a nod approval if you wanted to wokR something out, you  
39 know...

40

A37

1 HJ: Right...right

2

3 ED: ...within the, you know, parameters set forth...

4

5 HJ: Yes

6

7 ED: ...with the department.

8

9 HJ: Yes

10

11 ED: That's pretty much kind of she had to do. She didn't have to call you or talk to  
12 you about every single plea?

13

14 HJ: No, she didn't have to call and talk to me about every single plea. She did have,  
15 you know, my blessings, on working things out...

16

17 ED: Okay

18

19 HJ: ...within the guidelines of woKR, what the Chief's rules were.

20

21 ED: All right and the Chief...the Chief's rules...you know, she's okay with the Chief's  
22 making the rules on the plea agreement? Is that...or, did she complain about  
23 that?

24

25 HJ: I...honestly, I've never seen anything that indicated different. Um, and to be  
26 honest with you, it was just an understanding that either, you know...if someone  
27 goes out, yeah, we...we want to cut somebody a break, but we don't want to cut  
28 them a break to where, you know, the...the four-point ticket, and they walk away  
29 with zero. No, I have no problem with going to two, but...

30

31 ED: Judges don't even like that.

32

33 HJ: Right...right.

34

35 ED: Speaking about the Judge, did the prosecutor ever go into the Judge's chamber to  
36 discuss cases and then come back out and go on the record to dispose of it?

37

38 HJ: Again, I don't know first-hand because...

39

**A38**

1 ED: Have you ever been involved with something like that where you go into the  
2 Judge's chambers with the prosecutor to talk about a certain case?

3

~~4 HJ: I had a case where it was a DUI case and because of the Alcotest, instead of  
5 charging the guy with DUI, when he...was settling for a careless driving ticket.~~

6

7 ED: That was discussed in chambers?

8

9 HJ: Right

10

11 ED: And you were involved in that?

12

13 HJ: That's correct.

14

15 ED: So, that do you think happened in this case where she talked to the Judge in  
16 chambers?

17

18 HJ: I don't know. I wasn't there.

19

20 ED: You weren't there when it was thrown out?

21

22 HJ: Yeah, so I don't know.

23

24 KR: And Chief Kurylka was there when it was disposed of?

25

26 HJ: No, he...he left...he left when I left.

27

28 KR: Oh

29

30 HJ: Because we went out together. I could see on his face that he wasn't happy  
31 about this.

32

33 KR: Something...when you guys left, was it your understanding that she had already  
34 taken care of the matter?

35

36 HJ: Right. It was already...

37

38 KR: It was already done.

39

40 HJ: Right. It was a done deal.

**A39**

1

2 KR: A done deal prior to 2:15?

3

4 HJ: Correct. So that's why the Chief...it didn't sit well with the Chief because her...her  
5 ~~words were, don't worry about it...it's already been taken care of.~~

6

7 KR: Right. Was there any dialogue ever between you and Ms. Mott to the effect, I  
8 don't care, if you want to dismiss the whole thing, you can dismiss it?

9

10 HJ: No

11

12 KR: You never gave her that impression?

13

14 HJ: No...no.

15

16 KR: All right. I just want to put that out there.

17

18 HJ: Like I said, prior to March 8<sup>th</sup>, the only time I talked to her again, was...days after  
19 this...and I'm going to say, it was a telephone call with 99% of all she handled her  
20 communications with me related to court matters, and the second time we talked  
21 about it was when I walked into the court on March 8<sup>th</sup>. I said, hey, what do you  
22 want to do? And that's when she said, don't worry about it.

23

24 KR: Don't worry it, it's already been taken care of.

25

26 HJ: Right. So now, with that, we're leaving because it's already been taken care of,  
27 where we're thinking it's been put before the Judge or whatever, it's been done.

28

29 KR: All right. Have you ever had the occasion to have summons dismissed? Or, did  
30 you ever request summons be dismissed?

31

32 HJ: You...you have a sit...I've had situations where I wrote someone four, five  
33 summons. And we'll merge this...we'll dismiss this, so, yeah, I have had that  
34 based on what...

35

36 KR: When you have them amended...or

37

38 HJ: Or merged...

39

A40

1 KR: ...incorporated, merged...right, right, instead of hammering them with ten  
2 summons, you merge everything into two or three?  
3

~~4 HJ: Right. Correct.~~

5  
6 KR: All right. Is there a thing, such as a form, issued in error?  
7

8 HJ: If you write a ticket in error, that would come from the officer.  
9

10 KR: All right  
11

12 HJ: Then that would have to be approved...if I write a ticket in error, if I wrote this  
13 ticket in error and wanted to take it back, I'd have to write a...a void summons  
14 request to the Chief, at which time the Chief would have to investigate why this is  
15 being voided out. And if it's being voided out, and being replaced by a new  
16 summons, then it's fine. But if it's written in error and it's dismissed, or no  
17 companion ticket has gone with it, then it has to be a really good review.  
18

19 KR: You have to explain why.  
20

21 HJ: Yeah, and it it's...it's...  
22

23 KR: What would be an example of that?  
24

25 HJ: You know what, the guy passed me at 40 miles an hour and I could swear he had  
26 the cell phone up to his ear, but you know, then I talk to the guy and he's like, no,  
27 I've got my finger in my ear to clean my ear out. And you know, you're thinking  
28 about it, and then like you, I'm going to write the ticket, and then when you really  
29 think about it, like, if you're not 100% sure on what you saw, don't write the ticket  
30 and you void it out.  
31

32 KR: All right.  
33

34 ED: I have one more question. When she called you, from your memory, three or four  
35 days after and she asked if there is something you could do, or get out, did she  
36 tell you that Mr. Spork was her employee?  
37

38 HJ: No, he told me, and..  
39

40 ED: He told you, but did she tell you?

**A41**

1

2 HJ: She...I don't remember if she told me or she said something to the effect, like, oh,  
3 you ran into, you know, my guy...or one of my...I don't remember going back and

4 ~~I don't want to say yes and I don't want to say no to you guys because I just don't~~  
5 remember.

6

7 KR: Anything else that you think is important or relevant that we should know about?

8

9 HJ: No

10

11 KR: And just to reiterate, at no time did you give her the indication that it was okay  
12 just to simply dismiss the summons?

13

14 HJ: No...no

15

16 KR: Okay. And the Chief never gave her any indication that it was okay for her to  
17 dismiss the summons?

18

19 HJ: Oh no. Absolutely not. Because like I said, I could see that he wasn't happy  
20 about it and when we left the court room together, he said, so why didn't you say  
21 something? Why did you allow her to dismiss it? I'm like, I didn't. You heard her.  
22 She already had it taken care of.

23

24 KR: All right

25

26 HJ: She never spoke to me about anything.

27

28 KR: All right. I don't have anything else, unless you?

29

30 HJ: No...nope.

31

32 KR: Okay, with your permission, I'm going to close this out then.

33

34 HJ: All right.

35

36 KR: Okay. Have you provided this statement of your own free will and accord?

37

38 HJ: Yes, absolutely.

39

**A42**

1 KR: Okay, but actually, you were ordered here because you are a law enforcement  
2 officer to participate?  
3

4 HJ: Yes.

5  
6 KR: Okay, have you been mistreated, threatened or coerced by anyone in this room?  
7

8 HJ: No  
9

10 KR: Okay. Has anyone made you promises or offered you rewards?  
11

12 HJ: No  
13

14 KR: Okay. Have we told you what to say?  
15

16 HJ: No you haven't.  
17

18 KR: Have we told you what not to say?  
19

20 HJ: No you haven't.  
21

22 KR: Okay, and this statement is true and correct to the best of your knowledge and  
23 belief?  
24

25 HJ: That is correct.  
26

27 KR: And do you swear or affirm that everything you have told us is the truth, the  
28 whole truth and nothing but the truth, so help you God?  
29

30 HJ: I do.  
31

32 KR: Okay. This interview is now concluded and the time...oh, before I go off record, I  
33 just remembered...on your cell phone, do you have your personal cell?  
34

35 HJ: No, I do not.  
36

37 KR: Oh, all right. I was wondering if we could go back and get a date for that call  
38 between Mary Mott and you, if she called you on your personal cell?  
39

40 HJ: I have no problem with talking to my cell phone provider and getting a call history.



1

2 KR: Yeah, if you can?

3

4 HJ: Yeah

5

6 KR: All right

7

8 HJ: Yeah

9

10 KR: If you can get...I don't know who your provider is? Verizon?

11

12 HJ: Sprint

13

14 KR: All right. So, that would be it..

15

16 HJ: Yeah

17

18 KR: ...if you could do that, I would appreciate it. All right. Other than that, I have  
19 nothing else. This interview is concluded. The time is now approximately 8:11  
20 pm. Again, it is Wednesday, April 4, 2012. Thank you.


21

22 HJ: Thank you.

23

A44

**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

1. Frenchtown Boro Police Department		2. Mun. Code 1011	3. Phone Number and Ext. (908) 996-4820			4. UCR	21. Prosecutor's Case No.	22. Dept. Case No. 2012-000139		<input type="checkbox"/> Co-op <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original	
5. Crime/Incident Investigation			6. NJS			23. Victim (First, Middle, Last) State of New Jersey					
						24. D.O.B.		25. Sex	26. Race		
						27. Victim's Address (City, State, Zip) Phone and Ext. No.					
DATE AND TIME	7. Between <input type="checkbox"/>	8. Hour	9. Day Thursday	10. Mo. March	11. Date 08	12. Year 2012					
13. Crime/Incident Location Joint Court of Delaware Valley Frenchtown, NJ 08825-						28. Employer/Address		Phone and Ext. No.			
14. Municipality: Frenchtown		15. County: Hunterdon		16. Code 1011		29. Person Reporting Crime/Incident Chief Kurylka		30. Date & Time 3/9/2012 10:00			
17. Type of Premises Government Bldgs		18. Code 86	19. Weapons-Tools		20. Code	31. Address 27 Second Street Frenchtown, NJ 08825-		Phone and Ext. No. (908) 996-4820			
32. Modus Operandi / How Committed Dismissal of Motor Vehicle Traffic Ticket											
33. Vehicle	34. Year: Unknown	35. Make:	36. Body Type	37. Color	38. Registration Number and State			Serial Number of Identification			
VALUE STOLEN PROPERTY	40. Currency \$0.00	41. Jewelry \$0.00		42. Furs \$0.00		43. Clothing \$0.00		44. Auto \$0.00		45. Miscellaneous \$0.00	
46. Total Value Stolen \$0.00		47. Total Value Recovered \$0.00		48. Teletype Alarm		49. Technical Services:		50. Technician and Agency			
51. Weather Clear		52. Latent Print No. Unknown		53. Complaint Number		54. Photo #		55. Evidence Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None		56. Disposition Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
57. Chem. Lab. No.		58. Ballistics Lab. No.		59. MV Summ/Warn No.		60. Property Report No.		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NJ SBI <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Retained <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Returned <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Destroyed		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Arrest Pending <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Teletype Pending <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Evidence Pending	
List arrested/summoned - List and identify addition victims - Describe perpetrators or suspects - Date action taken include findings and observations of investigator - Physical evidence found - Where, by whom - Disposition and technical services performed - Interview of Victims - Witnesses - Persons contacted - Suspects - Attach Victim Property Loss Report - Attach Statements - Court Action - All NCIC Entry/Inquiries - Prison Disposition.											
61. Total Arrested		62. Number Adult 0		63. Number Juvenile 0		64. Status Crime ACTIVE		65. Status Case Investigation		66. UCR Status	67. Date Cleared
Other People Involved											
Type	68. Name	Street	City	State	Zip Code	69. Age	70. Sex	Phone #:	SSN	71. Race	72. DOB
Involved Part	Mary Mott	PO Box 164 1099 Rt. 519	Baptistown	NJ	08803-0164	49	F	(908) 963-4479		White	1/12/1963
Narrative											
Friday 03-09-2012											
The undersigned officer was informed by Chief Kurylka that upon attending Municipal Court on 03-08-2012, he discovered that P.O. Johnson had dismissed a Motor Vehicle summons as a result of speaking with Municipal Prosecutor Mary Mott. He further related that he wanted the matter, "looked into".											
Friday 03-09-2012											
A memo was issued to P.O. Johnson from me, indicating that I wanted an explanation regarding a ticket that was disposed of in court on 03-08-2012, as a result of his discussing it with Prosecutor Mott.											
Note: Ticket identified as B023961 issued on 01-20-2012 to a Mr. Thomas S. Spork, DOB 12-5-1987, of 75 Rummel Rd., Milford, NJ.											
Wednesday 03-14-2012											
The undersigned officer received a memo from P.O. Johnson, in reply to my memo of 03-09-2012. P.O. Johnson's memo stated that he issued a Motor Vehicle Summons to a Mr. Thomas Spork on 01-20-2012, said summons issued for a moving violation. After issuing Mr. Spork the summons, Mr. Spork advised him that he is employed by Prosecutor Mary Mott. P.O. Johnson responded to Mr. Spork that the summons has been issued and there was not much he could do about it. Approximately three (3) to four (4) days later, he received a phone call from Mary Mott regarding the summons and "if something could be worked out". The conversation reportedly ended up with Mrs. Mott stating she didn't know how she wanted to handle it but she'd work something out and get back to Officer Johnson.											
73. Rank/Name (Print or Type) Robert Winfield				74. Badge No. 4		75. Page 1 of 2 Pages		76. Date of Report 3/28/2012		77. Reviewed By	
Signature 				78.		79.		80. Date Written 3/28/2012		81. Reviewed Date	

**A45**

### INVESTIGATION REPORT

1. <b>Frenchtown Boro Police Department</b>	2. Mun. Code 1011	3. Phone Number and Ext. (908) 996-4820	4. UCR	21. Prosecutor's Case No.	22. Dept. Case No. 2012-000139	<input type="checkbox"/> Co-op <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original
---	----------------------	--	--------	---------------------------	-----------------------------------	--

The memo goes on to say that on 03-08-2012, Officer Johnson observed Mr. Spork in court and upon questioning Prosecutor Mott, in regards to the summons, she informed Officer Johnson not to worry about it, "It's already been taken care of, I'll tell the Judge there was a problem with getting the discovery in this case."

Wednesday 03-14-2012

At 1105 hours, the undersigned officer contacted Trish Wozniak at the Municipal Court and inquired about ticket B023961. She then informed me that on 03-08-2012 a not guilty plea sheet was entered into the Court as "problem with discovery as per Officer", said sheet entered by Prosecutor Mott.

Note: Copy of P.O. Johnson memo and Plea sheet contained in case file.

Saturday 03-24-2012

During a meeting with the Police Committee, said meeting attended by the Mayor, the Police Commissioner, Police Chief and myself. This matter was brought to their attention and they were informed this matter would be brought to the attention of Municipal Court Judge Novak and the Hunterdon County Prosecutor's Office.

Monday 03-26-2012

At 0915 hours, Chief Kurylka and I spoke via telephone with Judge Novak and were informed he would be responding to police headquarters to speak to us. At 1020 hours, Judge Novak arrived at police headquarters and was informed of the situation. He responded by stating he would be reinstating the summons, B023961, because he had now been informed that the reason for the dismissal may not be true. The Hunterdon County Prosecutor's Office was notified by Chief Kurylka and a meeting was set for 03-28-2012.

Wednesday 03-28-2012

Chief Kurylka and I met with the Hunterdon County Prosecutor, Mr. Keams, and his Chief of Detectives and Assistant Prosecutor. All information was related to them and we were informed their office would be conducting an investigation into the matter.

Monday 04-02-2012

I received a second memo from P.O. Johnson, dated 03-30-2012, stating that on 03-30-2012 at 1440 hours, he received a phone call from Prosecutor Mott, stating that she had received a phone call from Prosecutor Keams, requesting that she meet him on Monday 04-02-2012. During this phone call, she questioned Officer Johnson in regards to either him or Chief Kurylka making a complaint to the Hunterdon County Prosecutor's Office in relation to her dismissing a ticket. P.O. Johnson informed her that he didn't make any complaints.

Monday 04-02-2012

Dsgt. Rowe, Hunterdon County Prosecutor's Office, responded to police headquarters, completed an evidence receipt and copies of all paperwork and police car videos was T.O.T. to him.

Investigation to continue.

Copies of all reports contained in case file, including supplementary report of Chief Kurylka.

04-18-2012

At 1300 hours, the undersigned officer responded to the Hunterdon County Prosecutor's Office to give a statement in this case. At that location, I was interviewed by Dsgt. Rowe. Nothing further at this time.

73. Rank/Name (Print or Type) Robert Winfield  Lieutenant	74. Badge No. 4	75. Page 2 of 2 Pages	76. Date of Report 3/28/2012	77. Reviewed By
Signature	78.	79.	80. Date Written 3/28/2012	81. Reviewed Date

# A46