


advised that if I entered this program my criminal case will be over without the risk of me having to go to jail or have a criminal record. I have always maintained my innocence and Mr. Archie assured me that I would not have to admit to guilt or to testify in court about any aspect of the crimes for which I was charged. He told me that the arrangement will have no adverse effect upon a civil case of which I was contemplating. Mr. Archie recommended that I agree to the PTI program. I reviewed the document presented by Mr. Archie and agreed to the program with the understanding that in the end I would not have any criminal record and my civil matter would not be affected.

5. I signed the document and returned it to Mr. Archie. The document I consented to and signed was completely typewritten and had no handwritten statements on it.
6. When our criminal case was called, the lawyers advised the judge of our PTI resolution.
7. At the end of the hearing, I understood this matter to be resolved except that I would need to fulfill the requirements of the PTI program.
8. Following the hearing, Mr. Archie never advised me of a proposed change to the agreement. If I was advised that changes to the original order were being negotiated, I would have been curious about the changes and the significances of them. If the changes appeared odd, I would have contacted my civil lawyers and ask for their advice.
9. I am now aware that there was a handwritten change to the original consent order I signed, presumably initialed by Mr. Archie. I do not know at what time the change was added to the order. However, it definitely was not there when I signed that

document. Mr. Archie did not ask for my permission or agreement before modifying the typed order. Mr. Archie did not bring this modification to my attention. He also did not advise me about the significance of that hand written statement.

10. During the course of my criminal matter, Mr. Archie had always kept me abreast of the developments of my case. He had always advised me that he could only recommend a particular course of action, and that the final decision was mine. On this particular instance, I was not presented with an opportunity to make a decision on the proposed change. I never waived my right to make decisions affecting my criminal case.
11. The first page of the document that I signed states that I was being admitted into the PTI program without trial or admission of any issue of law or fact regarding the charges. I have never signed or initialed any subsequent change. Lastly, any statement or document that would contradict the proposed typewritten PTI order was done without my knowledge or approval, actual or implied.


Nekiesha Williams

Subscribed and sworn to before me
on this 4th day of December, 2007

